John A. Furlong, Bar No. 018356 General Counsel STATE BAR OF ARIZONA 4201 N. 24th Street, Suite 200 Phoenix, AZ 85016-6288 (602) 252-4804

IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF ARIZONA

PETITION TO AMEND RULE 6(e), ARIZONA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE Supreme Court No. R-09-0030

Comment of the State Bar of Arizona Regarding Petition to Amend Rule 6(e), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure

The State Bar of Arizona supports the petitioner's proposal to amend Rule 6(e) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. The proposal would incorporate the language used in Rule 6(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that prescribes the method for calculating additional time for a response when a motion or other paper is served by mail or by certain other forms of service. Currently, Arizona Rule 6(e) is ambiguous in some situations and can be reasonably interpreted as prescribing a method of calculating a response time that is different than the one set forth under Federal Rule 6(d), which is vexing to anyone trying to calculate when a response or other paper is due. The petitioner's proposed amendment would cure that ambiguity and conform the state rule with the federal rule.

As is set forth below, the State Bar also recommends a small change to Rule 6(a) to further clarify the interaction between Rule 6(a) and Rule 6(e). It further recommends adopting a proposed State Bar Committee Note (similar to a Committee Note that now accompanies the federal rule) to illustrate how the Rule is supposed to be applied.

Attached as Appendix A is a red-line version of Rules 6(a) and 6(e) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure that shows the State Bar's proposed amendment to Rule 6(a), the petitioner's proposed amendment to Rule 6(e), and the proposed State Bar Committee Note.¹

Rationale Supporting Adoption of the Proposed Amendment and the State Bar's Additional Proposals

When a motion or other court filing is served, judges and lawyers need to know when the response is due. Unfortunately, because of the interplay between Rules 6(a) and 6(e) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the answer is not always clear, creating an annoying administrative headache for both judges and practitioners. Moreover, the method of calculating the response time under those rules may be different than the method of calculation now found in Rules 6(a) and 6(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, compounding the confusion.

The ambiguity in the existing state rule can be illustrated by referring to the Federal Rule 6(d) and the illustrations provided in the Committee Note to the 2005 Amendments to that Rule. The federal Rule provides that when service is effected by mail or certain other types of service, "3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a)." The Committee Note to the Rule offers the following illustration of how Federal Rule 6(d) interacts with Federal Rule 6(a): "The effect of invoking the day when the prescribed period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a) can be illustrated by assuming that the thirtieth day of a thirty-day period is a Saturday. Under Rule 6(a) the period expires on the next day that is not a Sunday or legal holiday. If the following Monday is a legal holiday, under Rule 6(a) the period expires on Tuesday. Three days are

¹ The red-line revisions set forth in Appendix A likewise reflect the deletion of references in the title and body of Rule 6(e) to Rule 5(c)(2)(E), a non-existent subsection of Rule 5(c)(2). By Order of the Arizona Supreme Court in No. R-09-0025, the erroneous cross-references in Rule 6(e) to Rule 5(e)(2)(E) have been ordered corrected, effective January 1, 2010.

then added -- Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday as the third and final day to act."

It is unclear whether Arizona Rules 6(a) and 6(e) now follow the same method of calculating the response deadline in the situation described in the illustration. Arizona Rule 6(e) says that when a motion or other paper is served by mail or certain other forms of service, "five calendar days shall be added to the prescribed period." Arizona Rule 6(e), however, does not define what "prescribed period" means. It could refer to the "prescribed period" after Arizona Rule 6(a) is applied (which is what the federal Rule says explicitly), in which case the days would be counted in the same manner as described in the illustration to the federal Rule. That would make a response due one week and two days after the thirtieth day if it is a Saturday; and if the following Monday is a legal holiday, one would start counting the days on Tuesday, the fifth day would be the following Saturday, and, applying Rule 6(a) again, the response would be due on the following Monday.

But the current Rule's reference to "prescribed period" also could refer merely to the period of time prescribed by rule before one applies Rule 6(a). Indeed, Rule 6(a) seems to suggest that this is the case because it refers to "computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by these rules." Ariz. R. Civ. P. 6(a) (emphasis added). Thus, using the illustration above, if the thirtieth day falls on a Saturday, five calendar days would be added, starting on Sunday. That would make the response due on Thursday rather than the following Monday.

The current ambiguity in Arizona Rule 6(e) can be eliminated by adopting the petitioner's proposal and modifying Rule 6(e) to correspond with language similar to that used in Federal Rule 6(e), clarifying that five days are added "after the prescribed period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a)." The proposed rule change would also make the federal and state rules the same, eliminating the need for practitioners to remember two different rules for counting time.

his 18th day of December, 2

The State Bar has two other suggestions:

First, the word "prescribed" should be deleted from Arizona Rule 6(a) and replaced with the word "specified" (the word used in Federal Rule 6(a)), which would eliminate the confusion now arising from the use of the word "prescribed" in both Rules 6(a) and 6(e).

Second, given the confusion experienced by both lawyers and non-lawyers (such as administrative assistants and docketing clerks) given responsibility for calculating response and reply times, the State Bar recommends that the Court include a State Bar Committee Note that illustrates how the rule is supposed to be applied. To avoid any question about whether the federal and state rules are to be interpreted differently, the language proposed by the State Bar tracks the illustrations and language used in the Committee Note to the 2005 Amendments to Federal Rule 6(e).

Conclusion

The State Bar of Arizona respectfully requests that the Court adopt the petitioner's proposed rule change. It further requests that the Court amend Rule 6(a) and include the proposed State Bar Committee Note with the Rule as is suggested in this comment and shown in Appendix A.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of December, 2009.

John A. Furlong General Counsel

8TATE BAR OF ARIZONA

4201 North 24th Street, Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-6288

Electronic copy filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Arizona this 18th day of December, 2009.

APPENDIX "A"

State Bar's Proposed Modification to Petitioner's Proposed Changes

(Petitioner's proposed changes shown in italics (with additions shown by <u>underscoring</u> and deletions shown by <u>"strike through"</u>; State Bar's proposed modifications shown without italics, with additions shown by <u>underscoring</u> and deletions shown by <u>"strike through"</u>)

1 Rule 6. Time

Rule 6(a). Computation

In computing any period of time prescribed specified or allowed by these rules, by any local rules, by order of court, or by any applicable statute, the day of the act, event or default from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. When the period of time prescribed specified or allowed, exclusive of any additional time allowed under subdivision (e) of this rule, is less than 11 days, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays shall not be included in the computation. When the period of time is 11 days or more, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays shall be included in the computation. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, a Sunday or a legal holiday, in which event the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday, a Sunday or a legal holiday.

Rule 6(e). Additional time after service under Rule 5(c)(2)(C), or (D) or (E)

Whenever a party has the right or is required to do some act or take some proceedings within a prescribed period after the service of a notice or other paper upon the party and the notice of paper is served by a method authorized by Rule $5(c)(2)(C)_{\overline{5}}$, or (D), or (E), five calendar days <u>are shall be</u> added <u>after to</u> the prescribed period <u>would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).</u>

State Bar Committee Note

22 <u>2010 Amendment</u>

Rule 6(e) is amended to remove any doubt as to the method for extending the time to respond after service by mail or other means, including electronic means, if consented to in writing by the recipient or ordered by the court. Five days are added after the prescribed period otherwise expires under Rule 6(a). Intermediate Saturdays, Sundays,

Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the last day to act is the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. The effect of invoking the day when the prescribed period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a) can be illustrated by assuming that the thirtieth day of a thirty-day period is a Saturday. Under Rule 6(a) the period expires on the next day that is not a Sunday or legal holiday. If the following Monday is a legal holiday, under Rule 6(a) the period expires on Tuesday. Five calendar days are then added- Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday. As the fifth and final day falls on a Sunday by operation of Rule 6(a), the fifth and final day to act is the following Monday. If Monday is a legal holiday, the next day that is not a legal holiday is the fifth and final day to act. If the period prescribed expires on a Wednesday, the five added calendar days are Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday, and Monday, which is the fifth and final day to act unless it is a legal holiday. If Monday is a legal holiday, the next day that is not a legal holiday is the fifth and final day to act unless it is a legal holiday. If Monday is a legal holiday, the next day that is not a legal holiday is the fifth and final day to act unless it is a legal holiday. If Monday is a legal holiday, the next day that is not a legal holiday is the fifth and final day to act.

Application of Rule 6(e) to a period that is less than eleven days can be illustrated by a paper that is served by mailing on a Wednesday. If ten days are allowed to respond, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays are excluded in determining when the period expires under Rule 6(a). If there is no legal holiday, the period expires on the Wednesday two weeks after the paper was mailed. The five added Rule 6(e) days are Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday, and Monday, which is the fifth and final day to act unless it is a legal holiday. If Monday is a legal holiday, the next day that is not a legal holiday is the final day to act.